




Principles-Based Reserving

The Actuaries' Club of the Southwest

June 15, 2017



What do you need to know to develop a PBR life Product?



Principles Based Reserving: The basics

VM-20 Assumption Setting: Sources of volatility

Modeling Considerations: Handling additional complexity

Additional Considerations and Implications

Principles-Based Reserving: The basics



What is Principles-Based Reserving (PBR)?

- Life insurance policy reserves historically been calculated using a formulaic approach, with locked-in assumptions prescribed by state laws and regulations
- New and innovative product designs, and products which have increasingly grown in complexity, led to the development of PBR
- PBR replaces the current formulaic approach to determining policy reserves with an approach intended to more closely reflect product risks
- PBR is intended to “right-size reserves,” reducing reserves that are too high for some products and increasing reserves that are too low for other products
- Under PBR, reserves will be adjusted as economic conditions change and as company experience emerges
- Minimum reserves for life insurance are defined in the Valuation Manual (VM-20)

The maximum of three components is the Minimum Reserve under VM-20

Deterministic Reserve

A gross premium reserve that uses the company investment strategy under a prescribed economic scenario. Present values use discount rates based on projected net earned rates.

VM-20 is prospective, applying to new business only, with the exception of some ULSG products

VM-20 Minimum Reserve

Stochastic Reserve

Up to 10,000 scenarios from prescribed ESG. Calculate Greatest Present Value of Accumulated Deficiencies for each scenario. Discount rate is 105% of one-year Treasury rates. Stochastic Reserve based on CTE (70).

Exclusion tests can provide relief from having to calculate the deterministic and stochastic reserve

Net Premium Reserve

A “formulaic” type reserve intended to serve as the “floor” reserve for any specific policy. Separate calculation for ULSG vs. term products. Defaults to current CRVM for other products.


Principle-Based Life Reserves – It's Finally Here

- PBR was effective January 1, 2017
- Companies have a three year transition period to implement PBR, PBR is mandatory beginning January 1, 2020
- Implementation during the transition period can occur by product
- Companies may move to the 2017 CSO Table without implementing PBR
- Small companies may be exempt from PBR
- As of April 17, 2017 the Valuation Manual has been adopted by 47 states
 - AK, MA, and NY have not adopted
 - NY has announced it will adopt PBR in 2018
 - MA has introduced legislation to adopt PBR

Implementation Status

- The SOA recently released its “Report of the Society of Actuaries 2016 Mortality & Other Implications of PBR (VM-20) Survey – Part 2”
- 72 companies participated in the survey
- 15 survey respondents indicated that they would be adopting PBR for some policies in 2017
 - 10 expect to value only term plans
 - 4 expect to value only UL plans
 - 1 expects to value both term and UL
- The leading reasons for delaying adoption were:
 - Electing the three year transition period
 - Use of the small company exemption
 - Uncertainty about the tax reserve impact
- 15 respondents intend to cede business to captives after January 1, 2017
- 32 respondents plan to use the 2017 CSO for valuations in 2017, while 19 intend to use the 2017 CSO for determining minimum cash values

VM-20 Assumption Setting: Sources of volatility



Introduction to PBR assumptions

Focus on two assumption sets

Prescribed assumptions include mortality, lapse, and discount rates

- Methods consistent with prior formulaic reserve calculations

Prudent estimate assumption methodology provides some guidance but leaves a lot of room for judgment

- Assumptions are company experience plus a margin
- Some aspects of the mortality assumption include a fair amount of prescription
- Other liability assumptions are less prescribed

NPR prescribed components

Little change to what you already know

■ Mortality

- 2017 CSO and 2017 CSO Preferred Structure (2001 CSO Tables are available during transition)
- GI/SI: 2017 Table in development for use

■ Interest Rates

- Interest rates are calendar year rates that are not unlocked for issue year blocks
- Interest rates remain unchanged if they do not differ from prior year calculated interest rates by 0.50%

■ Lapse Rates

- UL SG lapse rates are determined based on the expected funding level of secondary guarantees
- Lapse rates for the UL w/o SG reserve floor is 0%
- Term product lapse rates are prescribed and vary by guarantee duration and premium jump

Who sets prudent estimate assumptions?

Overlap in responsibilities



- Company experience may be reasonably consistent with best-estimate assumptions
- Companies may wish to minimize assumption sets by combining best estimate and company experience where it makes sense to do so
- May need some modifications from how best estimate is calculated now to comply with company experience
 - Mortality may not be a good fit for combining
 - Minor changes for non-mortality
- Who is currently responsible for best-estimate assumptions? May be natural for ownership of company experience assumptions
- Margins, mortality grading, and application of judgment, may be more heavily developed with the valuation team

General guidance on prudent estimate assumptions

Section 9 of VM-20 – Anticipated Experience

1	Identify risk factors not prescribed or stochastically modeled
2	Anticipated experience
3	Use relevant and credible company experience; credibility blend elsewhere
4	Where credibility theory is of limited use rely on other accepted actuarial practices
5	Sensitivity testing
6	Annual review


General guidance on prudent estimate assumptions

Section 9 of VM-20 – Margin

- Set a margin for each assumption independently
- Should increase reserves
- Assume independent variables
- Level of uncertainty should impact margin
- Not required if variations in the assumption do not have a material impact

Prudent estimate mortality assumptions

Step by step process




Other Assumptions

Additional considerations by product

Category	Assumption Types
Term	Lapses, post level profits, conversions
ULSG	Lapse, premiums, withdrawals/surrenders
Corporate Assumptions	Expenses and taxes
Non Guaranteed Elements	COIs, crediting spreads, etc.
Reinsurance	Counterparty actions
Assets	Interest rate spread, default cost


Modeling Considerations: Handling additional complexity



VM-20 Model Structure

VM-20 Valuation Models vs. Projection Models

- **Valuation models** will calculate VM-20 Reserves at a **specific point in time**



- **Projection models** will calculate this VM-20 reserves at the model start date and at **each future period**

Valuation Model

Initial Decisions

- What system will be used?
 - For NPR?
 - For modeled reserve?
- What will the starting point for the models be?
- Which area will perform the modeling work?
- What modifications will be necessary to current models?
- How will exclusions tests be performed?
- At what level will you aggregate?

How do I model PBR over time?

- Projecting VM-20 modeled reserves will add complexity to models
- How to account for inforce aging at best estimate assumptions with prudent estimate reserves?
- Should increased credibility and other items that may impact prudent estimate assumptions be factored into the model?
- How are sensitivity runs impacted?
- What simplifications can be made?

Modeling complexities

- Stochastic Models
 - How many scenarios are adequate for pricing?
 - Who owns the stochastic exclusion tests?
- Inner and outer loops
 - Inforce ages at best estimate assumption
 - VM-20 is run with prudent estimate assumptions
 - Future mortality improvement may be applicable to the inforce but is not allowed for projecting modeled reserves
 - Historical mortality improvement may be applied to the assumption
- How many issue years are modeled? Does aggregating across multiple issue years impact results?
- Should you price/model different products together? What impact does aggregation have?
- Are your models setup for multiple issue years or products? If not how to estimate?

Modeling simplifications


- How is pricing different from valuation?
 - How are your goals different?
 - If you can create a product where the NPR governs is life is easier?
 - How do you determine how many scenarios? System constraints or first principles?
 - How is your final answer impacted?
- How precise does the reserve component need to be to reasonably capture profitability?
- What nuances of VM-20 can be simplified for the pricing model?
 - What is the impact of these simplifications?
- How frequently should you run stochastic models? Can you live with approximations for some iterative steps?

Model governance



- Principle Based Reserve Model Governance Practice Note
- How are pricing and valuation models different?
- Who owns the models? How much consistency should they have?
- How are the models and assumptions governed?
- How do the pricing models fit and stay consistent with valuation models?
- If the pricing actuary is making changes do those impact valuation?

Additional Considerations



Assumption unlocking

Pricing for volatility

- Assumptions for the modeled reserve may change at each valuation date
- This increases the volatility of earnings for products
- Pricing sensitivities will be more complex to model
- Changes in the best estimate assumption likely mean changes in your prudent estimate assumptions
- Pricing actuaries will need to be comfortable with wider ranges of pricing results
- May initially need more sensitivities to understand how reserves change
- Companies will need to be comfortable with modeling VM-20 sensitivities and outer loop projections





What is the tax reserve?

What to assume

- The industry is waiting for IRS guidance on the tax reserve under VM-20
- Companies are making assumptions for the tax reserves to begin modeling
- Some companies assume the NPR reserve
 - The use of the VM-20 floor reserve is considered conservative
 - A formulaic reserve is consistent with previous tax reserves
- Alternatively, one may assume the maximum of the modeled reserves and NPR
 - Less conservative than use of the NPR
 - Many have questions on how the IRS would audit the modeled reserve components
- Companies need to be flexible in reserve assumption as retroactive adjustments may be necessary once the IRS rules

Implications



Necessary to Start Now

- Your company has less than three years to prepare for PBR
- A strong implementation plan is needed to layout the order of implementation and necessary implementation steps – this requires significant thought and analysis
- PBR puts a lot more ‘pressure’ on models – additional model development and governance may be required
- You may require additional resources in addition to training for current resources
- Robust experience studies are necessary to support development of prudent estimate assumptions
- Principles-based reserve calculations involve a significant amount of additional work, in particular in setting up models, developing/updating prudent estimate assumptions (especially mortality), preparing the PBR Actuarial Report, and in simply doing the recurring work for each valuation date

Impact on your Business

- Product profitability and competitiveness will be impacted by underlying factors of PBR (e.g. products with more credible experience have the potential for lower reserves)
- May result in changes to the level of post level term premiums
- Simpler ULSG product designs may emerge
- Impact of streamlined underwriting on assumption setting will need to be considered
- May be pressure on reinsurers to offer lower guarantees
- Some companies may seek to sell life blocks to avoid the burden of PBR
- Statutory reserve volatility will increase
- Small companies opting for the small company exemption will have limitations placed on their ULSG offerings

Questions



Contact



WillisTowersWatson 

Carrie Kelley, FSA, MAAA

Consultant

One Alliance Center, 3500 Lenox Road, Suite 900, Atlanta, GA 30326-4238

T +1 404 365 1595

E Carrie.Kelley@willistowerswatson.com

Willis Towers Watson 